Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/524,358	BERGAYA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew E. Hoban	1793
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Matthew E. Hoban</u> .	(3) <u>Barry L. Kelmachter</u> .	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 June 2010	Time: <u>10 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 112 rejection over all claims		
Claims discussed: all		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant called the examiner concerning proposed amendments to bring the claims in scope of their preamble. The proposed amendments were acceptable and it was indicated that the rejection would be overcome.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Matthew E Hoban/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)